## **OPINION 1945**

Scarus chrysopterus Bloch & Schneider, 1801 (currently Sparisoma chrysopterum; Osteichthyes, Perciformes): specific name conserved and designated as the type species of Sparisoma Swainson, 1839

**Keywords.** Nomenclature; taxonomy; Osteichthyes; Perciformes; SCARIDAE; Sparisoma; Sparisoma abildgaardi; Sparisoma chrysopterum; parrot fishes; Caribbean; Western Atlantic.

## Ruling

(1) Under the plenary power:

(a) the specific name *abildgaardi* Bloch, 1791, as published in the binomen *Sparus abildgaardi*, is hereby suppressed for the purposes of the Principle of Priority but not for those of the Principle of Homonymy;

(b) all previous fixations of type species for the nominal genus *Sparisoma* Swainson, 1839 are hereby set aside and *Scarus chrysopterus* Bloch &

Schneider, 1801 is designated as the type species.

(2) The name *Sparisoma* Swainson, 1839 (gender: neuter), type species by designation under the plenary power in (1)(b) above *Scarus chrysopterus* Bloch & Schneider, 1801, is hereby placed on the Official List of Generic Names in Zoology.

(3) The name *chrysopterus* Bloch & Schneider, 1801, as published in the binomen *Scarus chrysopterus* (specific name of the type species of *Sparisoma* Swainson, 1839), is hereby placed on the Official List of Specific Names in Zoology.

(4) The name *abildgaardi* Bloch, 1791, as published in the binomen *Sparus abildgaardi* and as suppressed in (1)(a) above, is hereby placed on the Official Index of Rejected and Invalid Specific Names in Zoology.

# History of Case 3051

An application for the conservation of the specific name of *Scarus chrysopterus* Bloch & Schneider, 1801, and designation of the species as the type of *Sparisoma* Swainson, 1839, was received from Dr Rodrigo L. Moura (*Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil*) and Dr John E. Randall (*Bernice P. Bishop Museum, Honolulu, Hawaii, U.S.A.*) on 25 June 1997. After correspondence the case was published in BZN 55: 151–154 (September 1998). Notice of the case was sent to appropriate journals.

#### Decision of the Commission

On 1 September 1999 the members of the Commission were invited to vote on the proposals published in BZN 55: 153. At the close of the voting period on 1 December 1999 the votes were as follows:

Affirmative votes — 19: Bock, Brothers, Cocks, Dupuis, Eschmeyer, Heppell, Kerzhner, Kraus, Macpherson, Mahnert, Mawatari, Minelli, Nielsen, Papp, Patterson, Savage, Schuster, Song, Štys (part)

Negative votes — 2: Bouchet and Cogger.

No vote was received from Martins de Souza.

Lehtinen and Ride were on leave of absence.

In voting against Cogger commented: 'Given the levels of taxonomic subjectivity involved, I do not believe that suppression of the older name *abildgaardi* or a change in the type species of *Sparisoma* are warranted. The authors' objective could have been achieved by simply giving precedence to *chrysopterus* whenever it and *abildgaardi* are considered to be conspecific'. Stys voted in favour of the suppression of the name *abildgaardi* but against the designation of *Scarus chrysopterus* as the type species of *Sparisoma*; he commented: 'I cannot see any reason why the nominal species *Sparus abildgaardi* should not stand as the type species of *Sparisoma* despite having been suppressed (cf. Articles 67.1.2 and 81.2.1 of the Code)'.

## Original references

The following are the original references to the names placed on Official Lists and an Official Index by the ruling given in the present Opinion:

abildguardi, Sparus, Bloch, 1791, Naturgeschichte der ausländischen Fische, part 4, p. 22. chrysopterus, Scarus, Bloch & Schneider, 1801, Systema Ichthyologiae iconibus cx illustratum, p. 286.

Sparisoma Swainson, 1839, The natural history and classification of fishes, amphibians, and reptiles, or monocardian animals, vol. 2, p. 227.